## Fiscal Estimate - 2009 Session

	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supple	mental
LRB	Number	09-2834/1		Introd	luction Nun	nber <b>A</b>	B-030	1
<b>Descr</b> A real		exemption for s	nowmobile clu	bs				
Fiscal	Effect							
	No State Fisco Indeterminate Increase I Appropria Decrease Appropria Create Ne	e Existing tions Existing	Rever Decre Rever	ase Existing	to al	ease Costs osorb within Yes rease Cost	n agency	e possible 's budget \B\ No
	No Local Gor Indeterminate 1. Increase Permiss 2. Decrease	e Costs sive <mark>⊡</mark> Mandato	3.  Increa ory Permis 4.  Decrea	se Revenue ssive ☐ Man ase Revenue ssive ☐ Man	Gove Affect datory	owns Counties	Village	Cities metro sewer, lake, sanitary
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations  ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☒ SEG ☐ SEGS								
Agend	y/Prepared	Ву	A	Authorized S	ignature			Date
DOR/ Daniel Huegel (608) 266-5705 Paul				Paul Ziegler (	Ziegler (608) 266-5773			

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOR 6/9/2009

LRB Number 09-2834/1	Introduction Number	AB-0301	Estimate Type	Original					
Description A real property tax exemption for snowmobile clubs									

## Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Under the bill, real property owned by a snowmobile club that is exempt from taxation under Section 501 (c) (3) of the Internal Revenue Code would be exempt from property taxes, effective for the property tax assessments as of January 1, 2009.

Based on information from the Association of Wisconsin Snowmobile Clubs, there are over 600 snowmobile clubs in the state. The Department of Revenue (DOR) does not have sufficient access to assessment rolls to permit an estimate of the amount of property currently owned by snowmobile clubs. In addition, the DOR does not have data on how many of these clubs are exempt from taxation under Section 501 (c) (3) of the Internal Revenue Code. Therefore, the DOR is unable to reasonably estimate the amount of real property that could become exempt under the bill.

To the extent that snowmobile club property becomes exempt under the bill, property taxes on other property will increase. The state forestation tax will also decease by about \$0.17 per \$1,000 of full value of the property that becomes exempt under the bill.

DOR would incur no significant administrative costs under the bill.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

## Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2009 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

$\boxtimes$	Original		Updated			Corrected	I		Supplemental
LRB	Number	09-2834	′1		Intro	duction N	Numbe	r A	AB-0301
Descri		tion for			.!				
		exemption for				Local Gov	ornmani	· /do	not include in
	lized fiscal e		ilipacis ioi	Sια	le aliu/oi	LUCAI GUV	ermnem	t (ao	not include in
II. Ann	ualized Cos	ts:			Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from				
						Increase	ed Costs		Decreased Costs
	e Costs by								
		- Salaries and	d Fringes		\$				\$
<del></del>	Position Ch								
<del></del>		- Other Costs	5						
<del></del>	l Assistance								
<del></del>		s or Organiza							
TC	OTAL State	Costs by Cat	egory				\$		\$
		Source of Fu	nds						
GPR									
FED									
PRO	/PRS								
SEG	/SEG-S								
	III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, ets.)								
						Increa	sed Rev		Decreased Rev
GPR	GPR Taxes						\$		\$
GPR	GPR Earned								
FED	FED								
PRO	/PRS								
SEG	SEG/SEG-S								
TC	TOTAL State Revenues					\$	\$		
NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT									
						State	Local		
NET CHANGE IN COSTS						\$	\$		
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE				\$Se	e text of fis	cal note		\$	
Agency/Prepared By Au			Aut	thorized Signature				Date	
DOR/ Daniel Huegel (608) 266-5705 Par			Pau	aul Ziegler (608) 266-5773			6/9/2009		